1) New committee members?

2) Assessment:
   • Rankings
   • Yuichi has started gathering data to determine success of past students.

3) Load:
   • 300 applicants (after Yuichi prescreens international students).
   • 3 reviews per applicant = 900 reviewed.
   • 130 applicants each: Is it reasonable? If not...
   • Increase Committee size? Hard objective cut on applicants?
   • Proposal for Chinese students.

4) Information access:
   • Ease of access
   • Available information (TOEFL).
   • Incomplete information: GRE, grades, letters, statements.
Rankings

• 10: Absolutely top rate, fellowship quality, recruit by all means possible.
• 9: Excellent candidate. Recommended for fellowship.
• 8: Solid candidate, definitely a TA offer, should have no problems here
• 7: Good candidate, would be a good TA, likely to succeed
• 6: Decent candidate with some weaknesses, probably worth a chance
• 5: Weaker candidate, but a possibility if we need more students
• 4 and below: Would not admit under any circumstances